

Cataloguing Standards Committee  
Summary of Meeting 9 March 1990

Meeting held in Bangor at Auburn Hall, Friday March 9, 1990, from 10 A.M. to ca. 3:00 P. M., Lynn Wilcox, chair.

Minutes of the last meeting: Sue Robertson requested the name of the committee be corrected.

Lynn stated the purpose of the meeting: what to do about serials in the URSUS data base. A serious problem being that there is a lack of consistency in the way serials holdings statements are expressed in the catalogue and the desirability that the representation of holdings be uniform in a multi-library system. It was stressed that the Committee should bear in mind public needs and the most cost effective way to deal with it.

Marilyn outlined what the possibilities are for displaying holdings:

1. Define all holdings in 85X fields.
2. Define only holdings for dead serials in 85X fields; or non-current subscriptions in 85X fields.
3. Define holdings for current subscriptions in check-in records, including microform holdings.
4. Create item records for everything and don't use check-in records.

In Discussion the Committee expressed <sup>LC MARC</sup> a general ignorance as to how the 85X fields will be developed by ~~OCLC~~ and questioned whether this is an issue Triple I should address. Marilyn is to investigate this. ~~Present use of the 850 field can be continued in the interim until OCLC more clearly defines the 85X fields.~~ ?

Agreed that 85X should be used for dead serials only. For consistency for patrons a second holding library must also use an 85X field. The Committee rejected a summary holdings statement on the item record since an item record is a circulation record and could be wiped out unintentionally by Circ; nor could the public always see full holdings.

Defining holdings for current subscriptions in the check in record is in accord with Triple I recommendations.

Discussion concerning single or multiple records for hard and microform copies of a serial. In interest of ease for public use accept the bib for the hard copy to represent the item in PAC.

The question was raised whether items such as supplements should also be on bib record with main piece. The Law school does so Lynn will supply the Committee with a list of "Identities" (supplements, releases, pamphlets, etc.).

The practice of creating item records for some classified serials was raised. While this is a cumbersome method in dealing with multi volume sets in a consortium it does allow some control over a library's holdings.

The Cataloging Policies Document (Updated) was reviewed and the following emendations were approved.

- I B. In all such cases the record with the 019 field should overlay the earlier record. Notify libraries with holdings attached to the obsolete record if local information would be lost.

C. 5 (interim corrections) correct "85X : to "850" ; "fields" to "field". "850 field records summary holdings statement in the following format:"

E. I.A. Omit sentence: "Do not attach ... another library".

III. D.2 : Add identity if OCLC authority record has <sup>been</sup> modified.

IV. A. correct: "Marilyn" to "systems officer".  
B.1 "records will remain" to "records remain".  
B.2 "libraries will not" to "libraries do not".

Other item that came up:

Are "Circ on the fly" records being deleted when returned?

Date for next meeting set as Friday 18 May.